•	Case 1:12-er-00280-CCB Document 36 Filed 06/01/16 Page 1 of 12	
		1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND	
2	NORTHERN DIVISION	
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
5	v. CRIMINAL CASE NO. CCB-12-280	
7	KENNETH OAKLEY,	
8	Defendant /	
9		
10	(Sentencing)	
11	Friday, September 20, 2013 Baltimore, Maryland	
12	Before: Honorable Catherine C. Blake, Judge	
14 15	Appearances:	
16	On Behalf of the Government:	
17	Michael C. Hanlon, Esquire	
18	On Behalf of the Defendant:	
19	Brendan A. Hurson, Esquire	
20		
21		
22	Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR, FCRR	
23	Fourth Floor, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	
24	Baltimore, Maryland 21201	
25		

1 (Proceedings at 9:17 a.m.) 2 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, I call United States versus 3 Kenneth Oakley, Criminal Case Number CCB-12-0280. This matter is set for sentencing. Michael Hanlon for the United States. 4 5 joined at counsel table by Special Agent Marjorie Noel from the 6 Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives. 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 8 MR. HURSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Brendan Hurson 9 on behalf of Mr. Oakley. 10 THE COURT: All right. If we're all ready to proceed. 11 We are here for sentencing for Mr. Oakley following his guilty 12 plea to the -- superseding information, is it? 13 MR. HANLON: That's correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Yes. Charge of unlawful possession of a 15 firearm, a stolen firearm. And I have the Presentence Report 16 with some amendments already made, I think, based on your letter, 17 Mr. Hurson. 18 So let me just start. Mr. Hanlon, looking at the 19 Presentence Report as amended, any additions, corrections or 2.0 modifications? 21 MR. HANLON: No, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: And Mr. Hurson, anything else? 23 MR. HURSON: No. We had made one change to Page One, 24 and we did it this morning and that was it. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And just to be clear,

1 Mr. Oakley also read the Presentence Report and discussed it with you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. Great. It does indicate that, in terms of the advisory guideline range, we start as an offense level of 24. There are two prior qualifying convictions. The parties agree that Mr. Oakley is entitled to a three-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, which would be an offense level of 21. His criminal history category is a VI, so the advisory guideline range would be from 77 to 96 months.

We're in agreement?

MR. HANLON: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. HURSON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And, of course, I've reviewed the file and the Presentence Report and the plea agreement, and I understand there's a recommended disposition. And if you would like to address that, Mr. Hanlon, why that's an appropriate sentence.

MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, I'll be brief. The government does believe, based on its evaluation of all of the circumstances in this case, including the offense, but also information about the defendant's background that's been provided to me by Mr. Hurson, I believe the Court has some of it, and, Mr. Hurson, you know, I'll say for the record, provided me

2.0

2.2

some additional information which factored into the government's decision to enter into this non-922(g) disposition, all of those circumstances together led the government to believe that a disposition other than under 922(g) was appropriate in this case, which is why we did the superseding information.

It also led me to conclude that the recommended (C) plea was also an appropriate disposition.

I factored in the defendant's criminal history, which is substantial, and would often, in a typical case, cut in favor of a higher recommendation by me. But when combined with the mitigation the defense provided, as well as some of the background and the specific circumstances of the gun possession in this case, which is very serious, but there's mitigation provided by the defendant's background, I was led to conclude that a 90-month sentence would be an appropriate balancing of those various factors.

It's still within the guidelines range under the stolen gun offense.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. HANLON: So it's supported under that 3553(a) factor. And the government believes it appropriately balances the other factors under 18 USC Section 3553(a), including the nature of the offense, which is a serious one, as well as the defendant's criminal history, as well as the rest of his background. So the government recommends the 90-month

disposition.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hanlon. I believe I know essentially what you're referring to in terms of the mitigation in Mr. Oakley's background. Certainly, I'd be happy to hear anything additional Mr. Hurson would like to say.

Obviously, the charge could have been a lot more serious.

MR. HURSON: It originally was. And Mr. Oakley and I, as you can tell, we've been together a long time. Originally, this case was assigned to Ms. Newberger, and it was transferred to me when she went on leave. Over the course of the years that we've, Mr. Oakley and I, have been together, we were able to track down a lot of the documents about his life, school records. We were able to have him looked at by some medical professionals.

Basically, what we determined was that at the time he committed this offense he was, for lack of a better term, in really bad shape. He was paranoid. He was, he was not properly medicated. He wasn't doing well at all. And when he was caught with a firearm that day, he was clearly not supposed to be in possession of a gun and lawfully couldn't, and he knows that. But he wasn't thinking rationally at the time.

Over the course of being at Supermax, he's had a number of physical ailments that have been addressed. And he's been medicated and he's been doing really well.

I've noticed in the years we've been together a physical transformation, emotional transformation. And quite

frankly, the government didn't have to do this.

2.0

2.2

And I was listening to Eric Holder's speech before the Congressional Black Caucus yesterday about how high sentences generate disrespect for the law. And Mr. Hanlon, though he wasn't privy to that speech at the time, and this doesn't fall under, necessarily, the guidelines that the Justice Department has now issued for mandatory minimums, I really credit the government for seeing this sentence of what would have been 15 years minimum really was not appropriate for someone like Mr. Oakley, whose record includes nothing but small, hand-to-hand drug transactions. And Mr. Hanlon is the one who saw it as it was.

Certainly, it merits punishment, and I think 90 months is stiff punishment. But Mr. Oakley accepts that. And he, too, thanks the government. He's not going to say anything today at sentencing, but he really does thank Mr. Hanlon for giving him the opportunity to take a charge that was maybe a little bit more in line with what was appropriate.

So we have nothing else to add. We'd ask that the Court consider a designation to FCI Fairton, F-A-I-R-T-O-N.

THE COURT: Fairton.

MR. HURSON: That's correct. I've done Mr. Oakley's BOP numbers, if you will, and it seems like he'll be classified at a medium. And they can handle, it appears, his medication needs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Beyond that, we have a consent order of forfeiture that I think is before Your Honor. We obviously don't contest the forfeiture of the firearm. That was included in the plea agreement. And again, I thank the government. THE COURT: Thank you. I do have a consent order of forfeiture. Not clear to me that Mr. Oakley has signed it. MR. HANLON: Your Honor, I did not elicit the defendant's signature. The plea agreement calls for forfeiture and it's part of the agreement. I shared it with Mr. --THE COURT: That's sufficient? MR. HURSON: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. MR. HANLON: I figured fewer signatures may be easier. THE COURT: And were there any other recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons that would be appropriate? It seemed to me, in terms of supervised release, that mental health counseling, vocational, educational programs, and substance abuse treatment, if and as the probation officer thinks it's necessary. MR. HURSON: That's fine, Your Honor. We would ask for all those things. THE COURT: Okay. And FCI Fairton. Any specific reason to --MR. HURSON: Well, the proximity to the family is the number one reason. THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.2

MR. HURSON: Mr. Oakley had looked at some programming there that he thought was appropriate to him, although it's escaping me exactly what program it was. I think it would be sufficient to say that the programming offered at Fairton is in line with defendant's needs.

I want to make sure -- we changed it again -- but he has been incarcerated since March 7th, 2012. And it was originally a state case, which was nol prossed. But for purposes of the judgment, if Your Honor could note that he has been in custody since March 7th, 2012, that would be, that may help to ensure that he gets the proper credit for time.

THE COURT: Okay. Obviously, it's up to the Bureau of Prisons to do that. But you've got a date of arrest is March 7th. The May 7th is going to become March 7th on the front page. That's fine. It will provide that the sentence should begin as of March 7th, 2012.

MR. HURSON: Thank you.

THE COURT: Give him credit for that. Mr. Oakley, as you know, you have a right to speak if you want to. Your attorney has indicated that you don't want to at this point, which is absolutely fine. But I just need to advise you of that and make sure. If there's anything you would like to say, you have the right to do that.

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you all. I do, I was

2.0

2.2

inclined coming in, or I would have let you know, and what you've told me supports the recommended disposition that you both came up with. It did appear to me that there are, while this is a very serious offense, obviously, it's dangerous for Mr. Oakley as well as for the public, clearly, there were mitigating factors in Mr. Oakley's personal situation and in his perhaps mental and emotional state at the time of the offense.

His criminal history, while certainly lengthy, again, does not reflect the kind of violence or danger that would warrant a much more serious sentence, which certainly he could have been looking at.

I appreciate the government's recommendation and reasonableness as well. I do think what you all have agreed to is appropriate for the reasons you explained.

So the sentence on this superseding information, the unlawful possession of a stolen gun, will be 90 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons. I'll recommend that you be designated to FCI Fairton to be close to your family, and on account of the programming that's available. Of course, it's ultimately up to the Bureau of Prisons. But I'm happy to recommend that. The sentence should begin as of March 7th, 2012, when you came into custody on this charge.

Now, there will be a period of two years of supervised release when you come out of incarceration. And there's some special conditions there that I hope will be helpful, which is

1 any mental health testing, treatment, evaluation, counseling, the 2 probation officer recommends, and any vocational or educational 3 program the probation officer recommends, as well as participation in any substance abuse treatment or counseling that 4 5 the probation officer recommends. 6 Your financial circumstances don't permit imposition of 7 a fine so there won't be a fine. There is a required \$100 8 special assessment that I do have to impose. 9 And, of course, I'm sure that the probation officer and 10 Mr. Hurson will make sure that all the appropriate medical 11 information gets to the Bureau of Prisons. 12 Anything I have not addressed? Anything I've left out of that sentence? Any legal objection. 13 14 MR. HANLON: I have counts to dismiss, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Sure. 16 MR. HURSON: That was it for me, Your Honor. Thank 17 you. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to go ahead with the 19 counts. 2.0 MR. HANLON: Yes, Your Honor. In light of the 21 defendant's plea of guilty to the superseding information, the 2.2 government moves to dismiss the original indictment. I believe 23 there was only one indictment.

MR. HANLON: That's confirmed. Thank you.

THE CLERK: Yes.

24

THE COURT: That will be dismissed. Mr. Oakley, in light of the plea agreement and the sentence that you've just been given, I don't believe there's anything you probably have a right to appeal from or would necessarily want to. But you can consult with Mr. Hurson. If you were going to file any appeal, that would need to be within 14 days. Do you understand that, sir? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. Good luck. I have signed the forfeiture order. (Conclusion of Proceedings at 9:29 a.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Mary M. Zajac, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the proceedings in the matter of USA v. Kenneth Oakley, Case Number(s) CCB-12-280, on September 20, 2013. I further certify that the foregoing pages constitute the official transcript of proceedings as transcribed by me to the within matter in a complete and accurate manner. In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto affixed my signature this _____, 2016. Mary M. Zajac, Official Court Reporter